

suggests λινεργής as if the transformed Io is being compared to a sphinx woven on a tapestry. In view of the obscurity of this passage, it is difficult to choose between these interpretations.

8. (dub.) *ταυρώψ* [= *ταυρωπός*, “cow-eyed, cow-faced”]: Richard Carden, *The Papyrus Fragments of Sophocles* (Berlin-New York, 1974) 66 follows Lobel in arguing that the short gap at *P. Oxy.* 23.2369, 38 favors a form of *ταυρώψ* (also attested as a v.l. for *ταυρωπός* at Ion, fr. 8 D.<sup>2</sup> and Cornut. *ND* 22) rather than a form of *ταυρωπός*. But Pfeiffer, *ibid.* 22, points out that a superscript o suggests correction to a form of *ταυρωπός*.

9. *τηλέγνωτος* [“seen, recognized from afar”]: Read at Aeschylus, *P. Oxy.* 2245 frr. 1–12, 67.

## Dio Chrys. XI 23: διαστὶ διαλέγεσθαι?

By R. J. PENELLA, New York

In the eleventh or Trojan oration Dio Chrysostom takes Homer to task for claiming to know the language of the gods: ὡς οὐ μόνον ἔξὸν αὐτῷ τὰς ἄλλας γλώττας μιγνύειν τὰς τῶν Ἑλλήνων, καὶ ποτὲ μὲν αἰολίζειν, ποτὲ δὲ δωρίζειν, ποτὲ δὲ ίάζειν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ίαστὶ διαλέγεσθαι (23). The manuscripts’ *ίαστι* is obviously corrupt. Rhodomann and Casaubon proposed a clever emendation, *διαστί* (“in Zeus’s language”)<sup>1</sup>), which was accepted by subsequent editors and found its way into the standard lexica (*TGL* [1830–65], *LSJ*). A rival emendation is suggested by the recension of 22–24<sup>2</sup>). The relevant section of the recension is as follows: ὡς ἔξὸν αὐτῷ μὴ μόνον τὰς τῶν Ἑλλήνων φωνὰς μιγνύειν, μηδὲ τοῖς σφόδρᾳ ἀρχαίοις, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοῖς δαιμονίοις χρῆσθαι ὄνόμασι, καὶ ποτὲ μὲν αἰολίζοντα, ποτὲ δὲ δωρίζοντα, πάλιν δὲ ίάζοντα διαλέγεσθαι . . . The phrase *τοῖς δαιμονίοις χρῆσθαι* ὄνόμασι suggests the emendation *δαιμονιστί* or even *δαιμονιαστί*, the latter form to be explained as mimicry of *ίαστί*. Corruption of *δαιμονι(a)στί* to *ίαστί* is psychologically plausible, attributable to the influence of the preceding *ίάζειν*.

<sup>1)</sup> Cf. the emendations *θεαστί*, *θειαστί* (J. J. Reiske [ed.], *Dionis Chrysostomi orationes*<sup>2</sup> [1798] I 315n), and *θεϊστί* (H. von Arnim [ed.], *Dionis Prusaensis . . . omnia* [1893–96, repr. 1962] I 121, app. crit.).

<sup>2)</sup> On the recension, see K. Münscher, *Philologus* 76 (1920) 95–96.